XVII. Observation of the Winter Solstice of 1812, with the Mural Circle at Greenwich. By John Pond, Esq. Astronomer Royal, F.R.S.

Read February 25, 1813.

The weather this year at the period of the solstice was peculiarly unfavourable for astronomical observation; however, in the course of the month, I obtained nine observations of the sun; one of these proved defective, the result of the other eight, accompanies this communication. In my observation of the summer solstice, it will be seen that I assumed the arc ZP equal 38° 31′ 21″15; by subsequent observation I conceive that I have somewhat improved this quantity, which I now assume 38° 31′ 21″,5, as resulting from 120 observations of Polaris.

The observation of the summer solstice thus corrected will give the mean obliquity of the ecliptic for January 1, 1813, 23° 27′ 51″,50, and the winter solstice 23° 27′ 47″,35.

There can be no doubt but this small discordance might easily be reconciled by a slight modification of Bradley's refractions, and perhaps ultimately it may be necessary to have recourse to this theory for its explanation; but I am unwilling to do this hastily, being now occupied in making an extensive series of observations of circumpolar stars, with a view of determining, if possible, whether Bradley's mean refraction does, or does not, require alteration.—As I propose making the discordance of the solstices the subject of a sepa-

124 Mr. Pond's Observation of the Winter Solstice, &c.

rate paper, I shall not add any thing farther on the subject, excepting a recommendation to astronomers, to deduce their refractions from circumpolar stars altogether, and then examine the solstices with those refractions, and by no means to make the coincidence of the solstices a required proof of their accuracy.

Note. In the annexed computation the reduction to the solstice is computed from the observed right ascension of the sun, by this means the errors of the tables being avoided, observations at a considerable distance (even some weeks from the solstice) may be employed, particularly in the winter season, when the uncertainty from refraction is greater than the error which may be probably introduced into this part of the calculation.

	N. P. D.	113 27 50.5 113 27 50.5 46.2 50.3 44.2 44.2 49.9 50.7 48.9	113 27 48,59	113 27 47,35
Solstitial Zenith Distance.		74 56 29,0 24,7 28,8 26,5 22,7 23,4 29,2 27,4	74 56 27,09	74 \$6 25,85
Reduction to the Solstice.		55, 31, 3 30 51, 3 30 51, 3 30 51, 3 10 4 41, 8 17 18, 9	, , , ,	-
Semidiameter of the O is greater than in the Mautical Almanack.		+ 16 17.3 55 - 16 17.3 48 - 16 17.5 36 - 16 17.5 36 - 16 17.7 25 - 16 18.1 9 - 16 18.1 9	Lat 0",3	
Equation to reduce the observed N. P. D. to Senith Distance or position of the Senith point on the Circle.		38 31 15,0 38 31 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0 15,0	- 8″,50 ⊙	
Position of the Nero point, or Equation to be applied to obtain the N. P. D.		+ 0,0% 5,0% 5,0% 5,0% 5,0% 5,0% 5,0% 5,0%	onsParallax	
Observations as given by the Instrument.		O UL 112 12 34;3 LL 112 51 44;4 LL 113 4 14;8 UL 112 37 19;5 LL 113 14 49;1 UL 112 51 13;0 LL 113 30 39;6 UL 112 50 38;8	Mean of 8 Observations Nutation + 7",62 Par	
Refraction.		23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2		
Трскш•	•tu O	82466664 8044044 6666666666666666666666666		
	,nI	140000004		
Ваготеест		630,19 730,42 929,81 1029,73 1129,84 1329,64 30,30,10		
1812.		Dec. 6 9 10 11 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		